PREPARATION OF POWDERED PANCREATIC EXTRACT WITH

ВY

Sania M. Abdou; Dawood, A.H.; Abd El-Hady, S.M. and El-Alfy, M.B.

Food Sci. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Moshtohor, Zagazig University.

ABSTRACT

Some methods for preparation and characters during storage at room temperature of powdered pancreatic extract were studied. The obtained results could be summarised as follow:

- * The yield of pancreatic powder preparations represents: 40.6, 28.6, 15.0 and 12.4% for salt dried powder, lyophilized powder, actone extraction powder and precipitated dried powder/100 mg fresh glands respectively.
- * The salting method for pancreas powder preparation achieved the highest proteolytic activity giving 65.0 μ/mg fresh gland while the other methods by lyophilization, precipitation and acetone methods revealed 38.4, 35.4 and 33.6 μ/mg respectively.
- * The proteolytic and lipolytic activity of the different forms of powder showed low percentages of decrease during storing at room temperature for 3 months.
- * The bacteriological quality of the different prepared powders showed low counts for the tested types of bacteria representing total bacterial count, aerobic sporeformers, coliform and the proteolytic and saccharolytic anaerobic sporeformers oposite to those of lyophilized powder during storage.

INTRODUCTION

In previous paper it was stated that liquid pancreatic extract decreased greatly in its proteolytic and lipolytic activity during storage inspite of the presence of different preservatives Dawood et al., (1988). These facts conducted

....

the research to prepare the extract in a powder form. However, enzyme powder preparations are prefered because of the advanctages in case of handling, much less storage spaces and better stability during preservation and storage (Amer, 1963). Kushner and Hugo (1971), explained the preservative effect of sodium chloride through lowering the water activity. Naguib et al., (1979) and Girgis et al. (1983), investigated the bacteriological quality and the proteolytic activity of rennet extract and cleared that the sporeformers counts were very small, while the coliforms, moulds and yeasts were absent during the six months of storage.

The present work was carried out to prepare pancreatic powder with different methods of drying and to study its ploteolytic and liplytic activity and examines its bacteriological properties during storage at room temperature.

MATERIAL AND METHDOS

Powdered panctreatic extract was prepared by different methods as follows:

Direct salting method:

Fats and connective tissues were removed from the fresh pancreas glands then they were cut up to slices and sprinkled with dried sodium chloride, drying step at atmospheric condition was carried out for about 7 days as recommended by Fahmi and Amer (1962). After drying the excess of sodium chloride was removed followed by milling for the dried salted tissues and packed in polyethylene bags.

Precipitation drying method:

The defatted fresh pancreas glands were minced, frozen and enzyres extraction was carried out with dist, water. Ammonium sulphate was added to the extraction so as to bring the concentration of $(\mathrm{NH_4})_2\mathrm{SO_4}$ to 60%, then the pH was adjusted to 4. The precipitate was separated by centrifugation. The resultant precipitate was adjusted to pH 7.5 using 1 N KOH, then 20 g lactose powder + 30 g $\mathrm{Na_2SO_4}$ were added to each 50 g from the precipitate. The mixture was air dried and milled then packed in polyethylene bags.

Acetone drying method:

The method described by Keller et al. (1956), was used to prepare the acetone powder of pancreas.

Lyophilization drying method:

The commen method of enzymes and drugs for drying was used. Defatted fresh glands were minced and frozen, then lyophilized by Edwards. High Vaccuum Freeze Drier, England for 24 hours, then milled and packed in polyethylene bags.

The obtained powder of pancreas preparations were subjected for the following determinations: The proteolytic activity of the powder according to the method of Kunitz (1947) and the lipolytic activity on tributrin as a substrate using the modified method of Tarassuk and Frankel (1964). The total protein was estimated according to the method recommended by Lowry et al., (1951) and the specific units for pancreatic enzymes were determined.

The total bacterial count was determined according to the Standard Method for the examination of Dairy Products (1960). The coliform count was carried out as described by Harrigan and Margaret (1966). The aerobic sporeformers were determined as spore counts on Bacto Nutrient Agar after Difco manual (1965), while the anaerobic sporeformers were carried out by the dilution frequency method on a medium prepared according to El-Sadek and Mahmoud (1958).

Each expriment was conducted for 3 times and each determination was made in duplicates.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The yield:

Results in Table (1) showed the amount of the powdered pancreatic exatract prepared by the different methods, their proteolytic and lipolytic activities and the total activities were calculated as untis/100 mg fresh pancreas glands.

It is obvious from the results that the salt dired powder gave the highest yield followed by the lyophilized powder then the acetone method and finally the precipitated dried powder. The percentages of the protein in these powders were 35%, 43%, 28% and 11% in the same order. The results also revealed that the salt dried powder gave the highest total proteolytic and lipolytic activities when expressed as specific activity, then comes the lyophilized dried powder in the second order, followed by the acetone powder. The precipitated dried method was characterised by its lower yield in both specific proteolytic and lipolytic activity than the other methods.

Table (1.): Average yield of pancreatic powder and enzymes activity/100 mg fresh glands dried by different methods.

		Prot	Proteolytic activity	Lipolytic activity	ytic ty	Total a	Total activity/100 mg fresh tissues	ng fresh	tissues
Diying method	% Pield	Units/	Units/ Specific	Units/	Specific	Proteoly	Proteolytic activity Lipolytic activity	Lipolyt	ic activity
		mg.	ačtivity	mg.	mg. activity	Units/ mg.	Specific Units/ Specific activity mg. activity	Units/ mg.	Specific activity
Salt dried powder	40.63	65.00	185.71	179.55	513.00	2640.95	7545.60	7295.20	20843 4
Acetone powder	15.00	33.60	120.00	254.37	908 45	804.00	200000	2 2 2	
Precipitated dried powder	12.40	35.40	371.87	70.00	7	00.00	no no or	3613.48	13020./
Lyophilized dried powder	78 47	0.00	70 6	07.17	CT*400	438.96	3990.50	1205.99	10963.5
	60.03	30.40	89.30	269.33	626.34	1097.09	2551.40	7694.70	17894.7

Specific activity (units/mg protein).

Effect of storage on the different pancreatic powder preparations:

A- Effect on the enzymes activity:

Table (2) represents the effect of storage at room temperature on the proteolytic and lipolytic activities of pancreatic powder prepared with various methods. It can be noticed that the proteolytic activity of salt dried powder showed slight decrease during the storage period to be; 1.38, 4.31 and 6.92% after 1, 2 and 3 months respectively. The lipolytic activity of the pancreatic powder prepared with the same method decreased also with storage progress being; 1.98, 4.53 and 7.1% durign the storage period in the same sequence.

The proteolytic activity of the acetone method showed somewhat reduction in proteolytic and lipolytic activity during storage having decrease of 3.2, 7.14 and 9.87% for proteolytic activity and 5,2, 8.75 and 14.7% for lipolytic activity after 1, 2 and 3 months storing successively.

In the case of precipitated dried powder, the loss of both proteolytic and lipolytic activities during storage at room temperature as tested after one months interval seemes to be higher than in the two previous methods giving 4.52, 9.04 and 11.40% decrease in proteolytic activity and 7.22, 11.13 and 15.69% loss in the lipolytic activity after testing periodically every month during the storage period.

Regarding the lyophilized powder, the proteolytic and the lipolytic activities showed the highest percentage of decrease during the storage for three months being 5.73, 10.5 and 14.7% for proteolytic activity and 7.8, 13.10 and 17.32% for lipolytic activity in the same order.

From the obtained results, it can be noticed that in general, the proteolytic and lipolytic activities slightly decreased as the storage period progressed. The effect of storage at room temperature on the pancreatic powder was very low when compered with the loss occurred during the storage of liquid extract. This agreed with the results of Bagdy and Banga (1957) for pancreatic extract and Amer (1963), for rennet extract.

Data also cleared that the method of salt dried powder seemed to be the best method for preparing pancreatic powder as the loss in both proteolytic and lipolytic activities were the lowest one allover the storage period as explained by Kusher and Hugo (1971).

Table (2): Effect of storage at room temperature on proteclytic and lipolytic activities enzymes of pancreatic powder dried by different methods.

	Proteoly	rtic act	ivity u	Proteolytic activity units/mg	Spec	Specific activity (units/mg protein)	activity (u protein)	nits/mg	ax.	% decrease	1Se
Storage period(months)	0		7	m	0	-	73	m	1	2	m
Drying method:											
Salt dried powder	65.00 64.10 62.20 60.500	64.10	62.20	60.500	185.7	185.7 183.1 177.7 172.9	177.7	172.9	1.38	8 4,31	6.92
Acetone powder	33.60	32.50	31.20	30.284	120.0	116.1	111.4	108.2	3.20	0 7.14	
Presipitated dried powder	35.40	33.80	32.20	31.364	321.8	3 307.3	292.7	285.1	4.52	2 9.04	11.40
Lyophilized powder	38.40	36.20 34.37	34.37	32.754	89.3	3 84.2	79.9	76.2		5.73 10.5	14.7
	Lipoly (u	Lipolytic activity (units/mg)	ivity		Spr (unit	Specific activity (units/mg protein)	ctivity otein)		% u	% decrease in units/mg	
Storage period (months)	0		7	æ	0	-	7	m		7	3
Drying method:											
Salt dried powder	179.55 176,00 171,41 166.80	.00 171	.41 16	99.99	513.0	513.0 502.86 489.7 476.6	, 7.68	176.6	1.98	4.53	7.1
Acetone powder	254.37 241.14 232.10 216.95	.14 232	.10 21	6.95	908.4	861.20 828.9	328.9	774.8	5.20	8.75	14.7
Precipitated dried powder	97.26 90.24 86.43	.24 86		82.00	884.2	820.30 785.7		745.5	7.22 11.13	1.13	15.69
Lyophilized powder	269.33 248.32 234.05 222.68	.32 234	.05 22	2.68	626.3	577.50 544.3		517.9	7.80 13.10	3.10	17.32
				<u>-</u>							•
				_							

B- Effect of storage on the bacterial counts:

Table (3) illustrates the bacteriological analysis of pancreatic powder dried by the different methods during storage at room temperature.

The results showed that the total bacterial counts of the prepared pancreatic powders with different methods varied from one method to another. The acetone method gave the lowest number per-gram powder followed by the precipitated and dried powder to be in the second order, while the salting drying method came in the third order. The lyophilized powder contained the highest counts.

Concerning the aeropic sporeformers, the lyophilized powder illustrated also the highest load for this type of bacteria during the storage period. The other three methods produced powders with much lower counts.

The increase in the total plate counts and aerobic sporeformers during storage at the room temperature showed gradual increase within the first and the second month of storage then decreased in the third month. These results agree with Naguib et al., (1979) and Girgis et al., (1983).

In respect with coliform group counts for the four types of pancreatic powder preparations, the picture was changed. The coliform group of bacteria was not detected (Nil) in the acetone and precipitated dried powders. The lyophilized powder showed the highest numbers, while the salt dried powder cleared much less counts throughout the storage period.

Regarding the anaerobic sporeformers both proteolytic and saccharolytic types of bacteria present in the different forms of pancreatic powders prepared with the four drying methods. The results revealed that lyophilized powder showed the highest counts of proteolytic type. The most probable number (MPN) of proteolytic anaerobic sporeformers were slightly increased during storing at room temperature specially in the case of lyophilized powder.

The saccharolytic number of anaerobic sporeformers were absent in salt dired powder. In the other forms of powder their presence were rare and never exceeded 4 in counts at the initial time and throughtout storage then they decreased and was not detected after the second and the third month of storage. These results are in accordance with those of Naguib et al. (1979), who worked on liquid rennet.

Table (3): Bacteriological analysis of pancreatic powder dried by different methods during storage at room temperature.

							Ba	cter	1010	ig for	Bacteriological analysis	lally	sia						
	Tot	.e.] b	acte	Total bacterial Aerobic spore Coliform / g	Aero	bic	apo	re C	0111	orm	/ B		naer	Anaerobic		Spo	Spore formers	rmer	ច្ច
	cont	ıt/g	count/g z 10 ²	N_	for	ne ra	formers / g					14	rote	proteolytic M.	ic saccharolytin. P.N. counts / g	98.0 0.0	saccharolytic counts / g	olyti / g	υ _.
Storage period	0	T	2	1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3	0	H	2	3 10		2			I	C)	3	0	-	2	3
Method of drying Salt dried powder	5	17	21	17 21 9 33 50 60 10 20 10 Nil Nil 4 4 4.5 4.5 Nil Nil Nil Nil	33	50 (. 09	10 2	0	N O	11 N	4	4	4.5	4.5	Nil	N11	Nil	Nil
Acetone powder	6	12	\mathfrak{T}	15	H	50 '	40 N	Z H	11 N	N T	11 N	[] 4	4.5	5.5	20 40 NIL NIL NIL NIL 4 4.5 5.5 5.5 2	CJ.	N 1.1	NII NII NII	N11
Precipitated and dried powder	디	17	30	17 30 17 2		50 (90 (- 00 - M	11. N	z.	II N	- 11	7.8	8.1	50 80 60 N11 N11 N11 6 7.8 8.1 8.3 2	CI.	N	Nil Nil	Nil
Lyophilized powder 57	57	88	160	88 160 120 170 450 680 440 140 170 150 80 10 13 15 17 4	1,70 4	150	680 4	40 1	401.	70 1	50 8(.0 13	15	17	4	CJ	N11 N11	Nil
	-			-				-				**							

In general the bacterial picture of the pancreatic powders prepared with different methods during storage for 3 months at room temperature; cleared that salt dried powder, acetone and precipitated dried powders showed low counts for all tested types of bacteria. This can be attributed to the deleterious effect of salt on the initial count according to Kushner and Hugo (1971) in the first method, while due to the effect of acetone, diethyl ether and ammonium sulphate in denaturating the protoplasm of the microorganisms in the powder prepared with the other two methods.

The highest counts of bacteria in lyophilized powder may be due that there was no preservatives or inhibitory factors but the tissues of the pancreas glands were lyophilized as such.

REFERENCES

- Amer, S.N. (1963): A study in drying liquid rennet extract. Ph.D. Thesis, Cairo University, Egypt.
- American Public Health Association (1960): Standard mehtods for the examination of dairy products. Inc. llth ed., New York.
- Bagdy, D. and Banga, I. (1957): Pancreatic elastase. Acta Physiol. Aced. Sci. Hung. 11: 371. C.F. Method in enzym (1962) V.
- Dawood, A.H.; Abd El-Hady, S.M.; Sania, M. Abou, and El-Alfy, M.B. (1988): A study on preparation of pancreatic extract and its stability during storage. Under Publication.
- Difco Manual of Dehydrated Culture Medium Reagents, Ninth Ed. (1965): Difco Laboratories, Detroit, 1, Michigen, USA.
- El-Sadek, G.M. and Mahmoud, S.Z. (1958): Practical and applied Microbiology in Arabic, Anglo-Publisher, Cairo, Egypt.
- Fahmi, A.H. and Amer, S.N. (1962): A study on the preparation of liquid rennet extraction. In Arabic Megallet El-Eloom El-Zeraeia, Cairo, Univ. 15: 10.

- Girgis, E.S.; Amer, S.N.; Nagmoush, M.R.; Anis, M.K. and El-Batawy, M.A. (1983): A study on some of the properties of sheep's rennet during extraction. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci. 11, 223-231.
- Harrigan, W. and Margaret, E. (1966): Laboratory methods in microbiology. Academic Press, London and New York.
- Reller, P.; Cohen, E. and Neurath, H. (1956): Purification
 and properties of procarboxy-peptidase. J. of Biol.
 Chem., 223: 457.
- Kunitz, M. (1947): Proteolytic activity towards casein
 and hemoglobin. J. Gen. Physiology. 30: 291.
- Kushner, D. and Hugo, W. (1971): Inhibition and destruction of microbial cell. London-New York: Academic Press, P. 255-283.
- Lowry, O.H.; Rasebrough, N.J.; Farr, A.L. and Randell, R.J. (1951): Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. J. of Biological Chemistry 193: 265-275.
- Naguib, M.M.; Naguib, Kh.; El-Erian, A.F. and El-Shenawy, M. (1979): Effect of storage temperature on the bacteriological properties of liquid rennet. Egyptian, J. Dairy Sci., 7:209-214.
- Tarassuk, N.P. and Frankel, E.N. (1964): The mechanics of activation of lipolysis and the stability of lipase system of normal milk. J. Dairy Sci. 38: 438.

تحضير خلاصة البنكرياس المجففة بطرق مخنف

محمد بدير الالقى	صلاح مخيمر عبد الهادئ	احمد حسن ناوود	سنية محمود عبده

درست بعنى طرق تحضير مسحوق خلاصة البنكرياس وتتبع بعنى خواصها اثناء التخزين على درجسة حرارة الغرفة ويمكن تلخيص النتائم المتحصل عليها فيها يلسسسسى :

- اعطت غدد البنكرياس الطازجة الخالية من الانسحة الاخرى حوالى النسب التاليــــــــــة:
 ١٥٪ ، ٣٩٪ ، ١٥٪ ، ٢١٪ محوق مجفف بطرق الطح وطريقة التجفيد وطريق الترتيب ٠
 الاسبتون وطريقة الترسيب على الترتيب ٠
- اظيرت مسحوق خلاصة البنكرياس النتائج بطريقة الملح اعلى نشاط في التحليل البروتين حيث أعطسي ١٥ وحدة / ملليجرام ثم أعطت المساحيق المحضرة بطريقة التجفيد والاسيتون والترسيب حوالسسي ٣٨ ، ٣٥ ، ٣٤ وحدة / ملليجرام على الترتيب ٠
- اخْبوت الصور المختلفة من مسحوق خلاصة البنكرياس نسب منخفضة من النقى في نشاطيا للتحليل
 البروتيني والتحليل الدهني اثناء التخزين على درجة حرارة الغرفة •

